# INTENSE FINANCE — The Legal Record Against Elon Musk, X and xAI

Public statement of INTENSE FINANCE, LLC (if.finance) · Updated November 25, 2026 (original edition: November 13, 2026)

29 numbered matters: civil charges, settlements, civil verdicts, regulatory fines, investigations, and one open French criminal investigation. No criminal convictions.

## Status update since original edition

**This edition updates the original edition of November 13, 2026 (the PDF file's creation date is November 13, 2026, 11:20 PM CST) to the status of each matter as of November 25, 2026. The number of matters rose from 26 to 29: three matters (Counts 27, 28 and 29) were added, first published in the if.finance web edition of the Legal Record (Nov. 14, 2026). Each change below gives its date and source. A count that is not listed here has no status change.**

**• Count total, 26 → 29. Counts 27, 28 and 29 are new to this document.**

**• New Count 27, NAACP v. X.AI Corp. (N.D. Miss.). Motions to dismiss denied (October 2026); Mississippi Permit Board rejected the appeal of the turbine permit (November 10, 2026). Sources:** TechCrunch: Judge allows NAACP lawsuit over xAI data center pollution to move forward (Oct. 31, 2026)**;** Mississippi Today: State permit board rejects appeal of SpaceXAI air permits in Southaven (Nov. 12, 2026)

**• New Count 28, civil suits over Grok-generated sexual images. Four class actions consolidated in N.D. Cal. (October 23, 2026); MDL No. 3205 set for the Judicial Panel's December 3, 2026 hearing session; no liability ruling or settlement. Sources:** U.S. District Court for N.D. Cal.: stipulation and order to sever Stability and consolidate and coordinate actions as to X.AI (Oct. 23, 2026) (PDF, CourtListener)**;** Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation: Notice of December 3, 2026 Hearing Session and Hearing Session Order (PDF)

**• New Count 29, Holland-Thielen v. SpaceX (9th Cir.). The Ninth Circuit affirmed the denial of arbitration (November 10, 2026); the suit proceeds in federal court in Los Angeles. Source:** U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit: opinion, Holland-Thielen v. SpaceX, No. 25-4811 (Nov. 10, 2026) (PDF, Courthouse News)

**• Counts 2 and 3, SEC 13(d) case. Was: personal claims "to be dismissed." Now: the SEC's claims against Mr. Musk personally were dismissed by stipulation on July 9, 2026 (docket entry 60), and the case is closed. This happened before the original edition and is corrected here. Source:** CourtListener docket: SEC v. Musk, No. 1:25-cv-00105 (D.D.C.), entry 60, stipulation of dismissal as to Elon Musk (July 9, 2026)

**• Count 4, Pampena v. Musk. Was: "appeal reported pending." Now: the verdict was largely upheld on July 6, 2026; the appeal is Ninth Circuit No. 26-4928; the court extended the briefing schedule on October 13, 2026; Mr. Musk filed his opening brief on November 23, 2026; the answering brief is due December 23, 2026; and the claims deadline of November 24, 2026 has passed. Sources:** U.S. District Court for N.D. Cal.: order on post-trial motions, Pampena v. Musk (July 6, 2026) (PDF, Courthouse News)**;** Reuters (via Investing.com): Elon Musk asks US appeals court to throw out Twitter fraud verdict (Nov. 24, 2026)**;** UniCourt:

Ninth Circuit docket, Pampena v. Musk, No. 26-4928, including the Oct. 13, 2026 order setting the amended briefing schedule

**• Count 6, Morand v. Tesla. Was: "civil lawsuit pending." Now: motion to dismiss awaiting decision; discovery stayed since August 5, 2026; no ruling as of the last docket filing (November 9, 2026). Source:** CourtListener docket: Morand v. Tesla, Inc., No. 1:25-cv-01213 (W.D. Tex.)

**• Count 15, France. Was: "charges sought / referred to investigating judges." Now stated from the Paris prosecutor's own May 7, 2026 release: prosecutors asked the investigating judges to place Mr. Musk, Ms. Yaccarino, X Corp. and xAI entities under formal investigation (mise en examen). As of November 25, 2026, we found no report that the judges have ruled. Source:** Paris Public Prosecutor: press release on the opening of a judicial investigation into X (May 7, 2026) (PDF, French)

**• Count 16, EU DSA fine. Was: "appeal / compliance process reported." Now: annulment actions brought at the EU General Court (T-114/26, T-120/26 filed February 16, 2026, and T-121/26); corrective plan accepted July 15, 2026; the U.S. Department of Justice applied to intervene on September 24, 2026; no judgment found as of November 25, 2026. Sources:** EUR-Lex: Case T-120/26, X.AI Holdings v Commission (action brought Feb. 16, 2026)**;** European Commission: Commission accepts X's corrective measures to terminate breaches of the DSA (July 15, 2026)**;** U.S. Department of Justice: United States Files Request to Intervene in Case Brought by X Corp. and Elon Musk Seeking to Annul Decision by European Commission (Sept. 24, 2026)

**• Count 19, Irish DPC (Grok training). Inquiry still open, no fine found. New: at the DPC's request, X suspended training AI on data gathered by its Grok Bot AI agent (confirmed November 16, 2026; reported November 17, 2026). Source:** POLITICO: Irish regulator stops X training AI with data gathered by agents (Nov. 17, 2026)

**• Count 23, 35-attorney-general coalition. New: on November 23, 2026, coalition members Oregon and Virginia filed state consumer-protection suits against SpaceX Super Intelligence (formerly xAI), X Corp. and SpaceX over Grok-generated sexual images. Mr. Musk is not a named defendant. These are allegations; no ruling yet. Sources:** Virginia Attorney General: Attorneys General Jones and Rayfield File Suit Against SpaceX, Parent Company of X, xAI, and Grok (Nov. 23, 2026)**;** Oregon Department of Justice: complaint, State of Oregon ex rel. Rayfield v. SpaceX Super Intelligence Corp. et al. (Multnomah County Circuit Court, Nov. 2026) (PDF)**;** Courthouse News: complaint, Commonwealth of Virginia ex rel. Jones v. SpaceX Super Intelligence Corp. et al. (Richmond Circuit Court, filed Nov. 23, 2026) (PDF)**;** NPR: Virginia, Oregon sue Elon Musk's SpaceXSI over deepfake nonconsensual sexual images (Nov. 23, 2026)

**• Count 24, Australia. Was: "investigations open / ongoing as last officially described." Now: two regulatory investigations open, one into X Corp. and one into xAI, per the eSafety Commissioner. Sources:** AAP: Social media app investigated over child abuse material (January 2026)**;** eSafety Commissioner: FOI document set LOG 187, Unlawful Material Codes and Standards (April 2026) (PDF)

**• Names. In the November 23, 2026 state complaints, xAI appears as SpaceX Super Intelligence Corp. and SpaceX Super Intelligence LLC. Each count keeps the names used in its sources. Source:** Virginia Attorney General: Attorneys General Jones and Rayfield File Suit Against SpaceX, Parent Company of X, xAI, and Grok (Nov. 23, 2026)

**• Wording. Count descriptions and Status lines now follow the web edition of November 14, 2026. Short asides such as "not a criminal conviction" were taken out of individual Status lines; the opening section still states plainly that no court has convicted Mr. Musk of a crime.**

**• Checked on November 25, 2026, no status change found: Counts 5, 7, 8, 13, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 25, 26, 27, 28 and 29.**

**• Not re-verified for this update (status carried forward): Counts 1, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 14, which are closed or historical matters.**

## No conviction, and what this record is

**No court has convicted Elon Musk of a crime.** We say that first and plainly. Our research, current as of November 25, 2026, found no criminal conviction, and a public compilation at Factually likewise reports none. What follows is the public legal record: civil charges, criminal and regulatory investigations, civil findings, regulatory fines, and settlements, plus warning letters, demand letters, declined or closed inquiries, and, in France, a formal criminal investigation in which prosecutors have asked investigating judges to consider charges.

We number the matters as "counts" so they are easy to cite. They are not criminal counts. Each count carries its actual status in a bold Status line, and every factual sentence is tied to a linked source. Investigations are not convictions. Settlements without an admission of liability are not convictions. Civil jury verdicts are not criminal judgments. This is a record of public documents, not legal advice.

We are not shy about why we compiled it. The record is long, it spans eight countries and the European Union, and much of it is still open. Readers deserve to see it in one place, accurately labeled.

## Why we put this on the record

We are pleased with Grok and have used it in our work. We are putting this on the record because we need X and xAI to do something we cannot do ourselves: to remove, or at minimum clearly label and de-monetize, all posts on X.com like the unlicensed Hollywood AI compilation we documented in our story "X THEFT of TradeMark & Copyrights, Unlicensed Characters, Zero Labels".

This is not about one post. We ask X and xAI to sweep the entire platform for posts of this kind: AI-generated or AI-altered videos that reproduce copyrighted characters, actor likenesses, and trademarks without a visible license or a synthetic-media label. The example we reviewed was a 116-second reel amplified by @LinusEkenstam on November 10, 2026, crediting the maker Jaxon_Flix. Our frame-by-frame review counted 16 copyrighted characters, the faces of 15 real actors, and 3 trademarks or logos, with no AI or synthetic-media label visible when we viewed it. Our dispute is with the platform's systems, not with that poster for identifying the work as AI.

We cannot delete other people's posts. Only X can. Copyright takedowns ordinarily must come from the rights holders, which here are the studios and the actors. We are asking X to act anyway: identify and remove or clearly label every similar post; exclude content that simulates identifiable real people or copyrighted characters without consent or a license from impression-based payouts; and tell the public and us the proper channel for reporting further examples.

We also want this record to reach Elon Musk personally. Incoming civil litigation and possible criminal exposure are already part of the public record around X, xAI, and Mr. Musk (the criminal matters below are investigations, a warning letter, declined or closed inquiries, and one open French judicial investigation; none has produced a conviction).

## Statement of the legal record

*Current as of November 25, 2026. Each count gives its status in a bold Status line.*

### Count 1. United States — SEC "funding secured" action (civil)

On August 7, 2018, Mr. Musk tweeted that he was considering taking Tesla private at $420 a share, "Funding secured." The SEC charged securities fraud. On September 29, 2018, Mr. Musk and Tesla agreed to settle without admitting or denying the allegations. Each paid a $20 million civil penalty, and Mr. Musk stepped down as Tesla chairman for three years. The U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York entered the final judgment on October 16, 2018.

**Status: Settled, civil; no admission.**

**Source:** SEC press release 2018-226: Elon Musk Settles SEC Fraud Charges; Tesla Charged With and Resolves Securities Law Charge (Sept. 29, 2018)

### Count 2. United States — SEC suit over late disclosure of the Twitter stake (civil)

On January 14, 2025, the SEC sued Mr. Musk in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, alleging he failed to timely file a Schedule 13D after his stake in Twitter exceeded 5 percent, and that the delay allowed him to buy more than $500 million in shares at artificially low prices, underpaying by at least $150 million.

**Status: Civil complaint filed (Jan. 14, 2025); case closed in July 2026 (see Count 3).**

**Sources:** SEC Litigation Release No. 26219: SEC v. Elon Musk (Jan. 14, 2025); SEC complaint, SEC v. Musk, No. 1:25-cv-00105 (D.D.C.) (PDF)

### Count 3. United States — resolution of that 13(d) suit

On May 4, 2026, the SEC added the Elon Musk Revocable Trust as a defendant and proposed a consent judgment: a $1.5 million civil penalty and a permanent injunction against future Section 13(d) violations, without admitting or denying the allegations, with the SEC to dismiss the claims against Mr. Musk personally. On July 8, 2026, Judge Sparkle L. Sooknanan approved the consent judgment despite "significant misgivings," finding it met the minimum standard of fairness. On July 9, 2026, the SEC filed a stipulation dismissing its claims against Mr. Musk personally.

**Status: Settled, civil, as to the trust; claims against Mr. Musk personally dismissed by stipulation (July 9, 2026); no admission.**

**Sources:** SEC Litigation Release No. 26548: SEC v. Elon Musk, amended complaint and proposed consent judgment (May 2026); U.S. District Court for D.C., order approving consent judgment, SEC v. Musk (July 8, 2026) (PDF, CourtListener); TechCrunch: Despite 'misgivings,' judge approves Elon Musk's $1.5M SEC settlement (July 8, 2026); CourtListener docket: SEC v. Musk, No. 1:25-cv-00105 (D.D.C.), entry 60, stipulation of dismissal as to Elon Musk (July 9, 2026)

### Count 4. United States — Twitter-takeover shareholder fraud suit, Pampena v. Musk, No. 3:22-cv-05937 (N.D. Cal.)

On March 20, 2026, a federal jury found Mr. Musk liable for securities fraud under Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 in connection with statements during the $44 billion Twitter acquisition. On July 6, 2026, Judge Charles R. Breyer granted Mr. Musk judgment as a matter of law only as to his May 17, 2022 tweet and denied his motions in all other respects, leaving the verdict on his May 13, 2022 tweet standing, refusing to decertify the class, and granting the shareholders prejudgment interest and a claims process. Mr. Musk filed a notice of

appeal on July 30, 2026; the Ninth Circuit docketed it as No. 26-4928. On October 13, 2026, the Ninth Circuit extended the briefing schedule. Mr. Musk filed his opening brief on November 23, 2026, asking the court to overturn the verdict; he argues that the shareholders failed to prove that his May 13, 2022 post caused Twitter's 9.7% share-price decline, and that the class should not have been certified. The shareholders' answering brief is due December 23, 2026. The claim-filing deadline for shareholders was November 24, 2026.

**Status: Jury verdict of securities-fraud liability, largely upheld after trial; on appeal to the Ninth Circuit (No. 26-4928); Mr. Musk's opening brief filed November 23, 2026; answering brief due December 23, 2026; claims deadline passed (November 24, 2026).**

**Sources:** Pampena v. Musk settlement and claims administrator site (Twitter Acquisition Litigation); Reuters: report on the Pampena v. Musk jury verdict over the $44 billion Twitter takeover (Mar. 20, 2026); U.S. District Court for N.D. Cal., order on post-trial motions, Pampena v. Musk (July 6, 2026) (PDF, Courthouse News); Pampena v. Musk docket entry 592 and Ninth Circuit time schedule order, No. 26-4928 (July 31, 2026); Mealey's: Elon Musk Appeals Order Mostly Upholding Verdict In Stock-Price Manipulation Case (Aug. 4, 2026); Reuters (via Investing.com): Elon Musk asks US appeals court to throw out Twitter fraud verdict (Nov. 24, 2026); UniCourt: Ninth Circuit docket, Pampena v. Musk, No. 26-4928, including the Oct. 13, 2026 order setting the amended briefing schedule

### Count 5. United States — Tesla Autopilot / Full Self-Driving investigations (DOJ and SEC)

Reuters and CNBC reported in May 2024 that federal prosecutors were examining possible securities and wire fraud in Tesla's Autopilot and FSD claims, and that the SEC was also investigating representations to investors. Tesla has disclosed government information requests on these topics in its SEC filings. As of November 25, 2026, we have found no public indictment, criminal charge, or agency finding of wrongdoing.

**Status: Investigation reported.**

**Sources:** CNBC: In Tesla Autopilot probe, U.S. prosecutors focus on securities, wire fraud, Reuters reports (May 8, 2024); Tesla, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarter ended Sept. 30, 2026 (SEC EDGAR)

### Count 6. United States — Tesla shareholder securities class action over Autopilot, FSD, and Robotaxi claims, Morand v. Tesla, No. 1:25-cv-01213 (W.D. Tex.)

The suit was filed August 4, 2025. Tesla's Q3 2026 Form 10-Q says the complaint names Tesla, Mr. Musk, and certain current and former executives and alleges misrepresentations about Autopilot, Full Self-Driving (Supervised), and Robotaxi; that the plaintiffs amended it on February 17, 2026; and that Tesla's April 20, 2026 motion to dismiss has been fully briefed. On August 5, 2026, Judge Robert Pitman stayed all deadlines and discovery until he rules on that motion. The docket shows no ruling on it as of its last filing on November 9, 2026.

**Status: Civil lawsuit pending; motion to dismiss awaiting decision; discovery stayed.**

**Sources:** CourtListener docket: Morand v. Tesla, Inc., No. 1:25-cv-01213 (W.D. Tex.); Tesla, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarter ended Sept. 30, 2026 (SEC EDGAR)

### Count 7. United States — NLRB findings in Tesla, Inc., Case 32-CA-197020

The National Labor Relations Board found that Tesla committed multiple unfair labor practices during union organizing, including a 2018 tweet by Mr. Musk that the Board treated as a threat of lost stock options. On October 25, 2024, the Fifth Circuit, sitting en banc, vacated the Board's order, including the requirement that

the tweet be deleted, and remanded. The NLRB docket still listed the case as Open in 2026, with position statements filed March 24, 2026.

**Status: Civil/administrative labor findings; appellate vacatur and remand; case open.**

**Sources:** NLRB case page: Tesla, Inc., Case 32-CA-197020; NLRB: Summary of NLRB Decisions for Week of October 21–25, 2024

### Count 8. United States — Delaware litigation over Mr. Musk's 2018 Tesla pay package (Tornetta / In re Tesla)

In 2024 the Court of Chancery rescinded the package. On December 19, 2025, the Delaware Supreme Court reversed the rescission remedy, awarded $1 in nominal damages, and restored the package. It also threw out the $345 million fee award to the plaintiff's attorneys and replaced it with fees equal to their lodestar times four, plus costs and interest.

**Status: Civil appellate ruling restoring the package.**

**Sources:** Delaware Supreme Court opinion on the 2018 Tesla pay package (Tornetta / In re Tesla) (Dec. 19, 2025); CNBC: Musk's 2018 Tesla pay package must be restored, Delaware court rules (Dec. 19, 2025); Gibson Dunn: Delaware Reinstates Musk's Pay Package, Slashes $345 Million Fee Award

### Count 9. United States — America PAC $1 million voter sweepstakes

In October 2024, the Justice Department's Public Integrity Section sent America PAC a warning letter that a $1 million giveaway to registered voters in swing states might violate federal law. We have found no subsequent federal criminal charge from that letter.

**Status: Warning letter; no federal charge found.**

**Source:** CNN: Justice Department warns Elon Musk that his $1 million giveaway to registered voters may be illegal (Oct. 23, 2024)

### Count 10. United States — Philadelphia District Attorney Larry Krasner's suit to stop the giveaway

On November 13, 2024, a Pennsylvania judge denied the request, finding the District Attorney had not shown the promotion was an illegal lottery and that recipients were selected as paid PAC spokespeople rather than chosen at random.

**Status: Injunction denied.**

**Source:** Philadelphia Inquirer: Larry Krasner's suit against Elon Musk's election giveaway lacked proof, judge says (Nov. 13, 2024)

### Count 11. United States — later civil suits over the 2024 giveaways

In October 2026, reporting described a settlement of lawsuits accusing Mr. Musk of fraud in the election money giveaways against Mr. Musk and America PAC; the terms were not disclosed.

**Status: Civil settlement reported.**

**Source:** Philadelphia Inquirer: Musk settles lawsuits accusing him of fraud in money giveaways during the 2024 election (Oct. 16, 2026)

### Count 12. United States — Wisconsin election-bribery referral

On August 25, 2026, the Associated Press reported that a Wisconsin special prosecutor, La Crosse County District Attorney Tim Gruenke, declined to charge Mr. Musk over a 2025 offer of $1 million checks tied to a state Supreme Court race, concluding a jury would not find election bribery.

**Status: Charges declined.**

**Source:** Associated Press: report on the Wisconsin special prosecutor declining election-bribery charges (Aug. 25, 2026)

### Count 13. Brazil — Supreme Federal Court Inquiry 4957

Justice Alexandre de Moraes had opened an inquiry into Mr. Musk for alleged obstruction of justice, criminal organization, and incitement, in connection with X's handling of court-ordered account blocks. On March 10, 2026, Moraes archived the inquiry at the request of the Prosecutor-General. The Prosecutor-General found no evidence of deliberate obstruction, criminal organization, or incitement, and treated the incidents as operational failures that were promptly corrected. The inquiry remains closed unless new evidence appears.

**Status: Criminal inquiry closed; no charge.**

**Sources:** Brazil Supreme Federal Court (STF): A pedido da PGR, STF arquiva inquérito contra Elon Musk (Mar. 10, 2026, Portuguese); Agência Brasil: Supreme Court orders investigation against Elon Musk to be closed (March 2026)

### Count 14. France — search of X's Paris office and summons

On February 3, 2026, the Paris prosecutor's cybercrime unit searched X's French office. Mr. Musk and former X CEO Linda Yaccarino were later summoned for a voluntary interview on April 20, 2026. Both declined to appear.

**Status: Criminal investigation steps; summons not attended.**

**Source:** CNBC: French prosecutors' probe of Elon Musk, X now a criminal investigation (May 7, 2026)

### Count 15. France — formal judicial investigation (information judiciaire)

On May 7, 2026, the Paris prosecutor's office said it had escalated the matter to a formal criminal investigation and referred it to investigating judges. The prosecutor's own May 7, 2026 press release states that, by an opening indictment-request (réquisitoire introductif) of May 6, 2026, the office asked the investigating judges to place X.AI Holdings Corp, X Corp, X AI, Mr. Musk, and Ms. Yaccarino under formal investigation (mise en examen). The Associated Press and Die Zeit report this as prosecutors seeking charges against Mr. Musk, X Corp., xAI, and Ms. Yaccarino. The offences named in the release are: complicity in possessing images of minors of a child-sexual-abuse nature and in their organised distribution; collecting personal data by fraudulent, unfair, or unlawful means; processing personal data without adequate security; fraudulent extraction of data from an automated data-processing system by an organised group; violating the secrecy of electronic correspondence; falsifying the operation of an automated data-processing system by an organised group; distributing online algorithmically generated sexual content reproducing the image or words of a non-consenting person; administering an online platform to enable illicit transactions by an organised group; and contesting a crime against humanity, facilitated by the Grok AI. The release asks the judges to summon the subjects or, if they fail to appear, to issue a warrant that has the effect of placing them under formal investigation (mandat valant mise en examen). As of November 25, 2026, we have found no public report that the judges have yet ruled on that request.

**Status: Criminal judicial investigation open; prosecutors seeking formal charges (mise en examen) against Mr. Musk, Ms. Yaccarino, X Corp., and xAI entities.**

**Sources:** CNBC: French prosecutors' probe of Elon Musk, X now a criminal investigation (May 7, 2026); Associated Press: report on French prosecutors seeking charges over X and Grok deepfakes (May 2026); Die Zeit: report on the Paris investigation of Elon Musk and X over deepfakes (May 2026, German); Paris Public Prosecutor: press release on the opening of a judicial investigation into X (May 7, 2026) (PDF, French)

### Count 16. European Union — Digital Services Act fine

On December 5, 2025, the European Commission fined X €120 million, its first DSA non-compliance decision, for a deceptive "blue checkmark" design, a deficient advertising repository, and failure to provide researchers access to public data. X has appealed: an action to annul the decision and the fines was brought before the EU General Court on February 16, 2026 (Case T-120/26, X.AI Holdings v Commission). On July 15, 2026, the Commission accepted X's corrective-action plan and gave X six months to implement it under an enhanced supervision regime with an external, independent audit, noting that the Board for Digital Services had found the plan overall inadequate. AFP reported that the plan does not halt X's appeal of the fine. Related annulment actions were brought by X Internet and X Holdings (Case T-114/26) and by Mr. Musk (Case T-121/26); on September 24, 2026, the U.S. Department of Justice applied to intervene in those two cases in support of the applicants.

**Status: €120 million fine imposed; annulment actions brought at the EU General Court (T-114/26, T-120/26, T-121/26), no judgment found as of November 25, 2026; corrective plan under Commission supervision.**

**Sources:** European Commission: Commission fines X €120 million under the Digital Services Act (Dec. 5, 2025); European Commission: Commission accepts X's corrective measures to terminate breaches of the DSA (July 15, 2026); France 24 / AFP: EU accepts X's plan to fix digital content violations (July 15, 2026); EUR-Lex: Case T-120/26, X.AI Holdings v Commission (action brought Feb. 16, 2026); U.S. Department of Justice: United States Files Request to Intervene in Case Brought by X Corp. and Elon Musk Seeking to Annul Decision by European Commission (Sept. 24, 2026)

### Count 17. European Union — further DSA investigation of Grok and X's recommender systems

On January 26, 2026, the Commission opened a new formal DSA investigation into whether X properly assessed and mitigated risks from deploying Grok on X in the EU, including dissemination of illegal content such as manipulated sexually explicit images and possible child-sexual-abuse material, and extended its December 2023 investigation of X's recommender-system risk obligations.

**Status: Formal regulatory investigation open; no fine yet on this new file.**

**Source:** European Commission: Commission investigates Grok and X's recommender systems under the Digital Services Act (Jan. 26, 2026)

### Count 18. United Kingdom — Ofcom investigation of X over Grok sexualised imagery

On January 12, 2026, Ofcom opened a formal investigation under the Online Safety Act into whether X complied with duties to protect people in the UK from illegal content, including possible non-consensual intimate images and child-sexual-abuse material generated with Grok. In an October 14, 2026 update, Ofcom said the investigation remained open, had been narrowed to illegal-content and reporting duties after X changed age-gating of pornography, and that no provisional contravention decision had yet been issued.

**Status: Regulatory investigation open; no finding or fine yet.**

**Sources:** Ofcom: Ofcom launches investigation into X over Grok sexualised imagery (Jan. 12, 2026); Ofcom update: Monitoring X's response to hate and terror content, and Grok investigation latest (Oct. 14, 2026)

### Count 19. Ireland — Data Protection Commission inquiry into use of public posts to train Grok

On April 11, 2025, Ireland's DPC, as lead EU supervisory authority for X Internet Unlimited Company, opened an inquiry into XIUC's use of the personal data of EU/EEA users. Separately, POLITICO reported on November 17, 2026 that the DPC had asked X to stop using data collected by its Grok Bot AI agent to train AI models; DPC Commissioner Dale Sunderland said X confirmed on November 16, 2026 that it had suspended that processing.

**Status: Regulatory inquiry open; no fine found as of November 25, 2026; separate agent-data training suspended at the DPC's request (November 16, 2026).**

**Sources:** Ireland Data Protection Commission: Announces commencement of inquiry into X Internet Unlimited Company (XIUC) (Apr. 11, 2025); POLITICO: Irish regulator stops X training AI with data gathered by agents (Nov. 17, 2026)

### Count 20. Ireland — DPC inquiry into Grok-generated non-consensual sexualised images

On February 17, 2026, the DPC opened a further inquiry into the apparent creation and publication on X of potentially harmful, non-consensual intimate or sexualised images, including of children, using Grok, examining GDPR Articles 5, 6, 25, and 35.

**Status: Regulatory inquiry open; no fine found as of this research.**

**Source:** Ireland Data Protection Commission: Opens investigation into X (XIUC) (Feb. 17, 2026)

### Count 21. California — Attorney General investigation of xAI / Grok

On January 14, 2026, Attorney General Rob Bonta opened an investigation into non-consensual sexually explicit material produced with Grok, including reports of images of women and children.

**Status: State investigation open.**

**Source:** California Attorney General: Attorney General Bonta Launches Investigation into xAI, Grok Over Undressed, Sexual AI Images of Women and Children (Jan. 14, 2026)

### Count 22. California — cease-and-desist to xAI

On January 16, 2026, Attorney General Bonta sent xAI a cease-and-desist letter demanding that it immediately stop creating and distributing deepfake non-consensual intimate images and child-sexual-abuse material, citing California Civil Code § 1708.86, Penal Code §§ 311 et seq. and 647(j)(4), and Business & Professions Code § 17200. xAI formally responded to the letter and said it had added safeguards. On January 21, 2026, the Attorney General's office said it had "reason to believe, subject to additional verification," that Grok was "not currently being used to generate any sexual images of children or images that violate California law," that its investigation was "still very much underway," and that it was "paying very close attention to both past and future actions that may be unlawful." As of November 25, 2026, we have found no report that the investigation has closed and no lawsuit filed by the Attorney General.

**Status: Cease-and-desist issued; investigation not reported closed.**

**Sources:** California Attorney General: Attorney General Bonta Sends Cease and Desist Letter to xAI, Demands It Halt Illegal Actions Immediately (Jan. 16, 2026); FOX40 via KGET: xAI not currently digitally undressing

women, AG's office says (Jan. 21, 2026); WIRED: The State-Led Crackdown on Grok and xAI Has Begun (Jan. 27, 2026)

### Count 23. United States — bipartisan coalition of 35 attorneys general

On January 23, 2026, North Carolina Attorney General Jeff Jackson led a coalition of 35 attorneys general demanding that xAI stop Grok from generating non-consensual sexual images, remove existing images, act against users who generated them, and give X users control over whether their content can be edited by Grok. On November 23, 2026, two of the coalition's members, Oregon Attorney General Dan Rayfield and Virginia Attorney General Jay Jones, filed separate consumer-protection lawsuits in state court (Multnomah County Circuit Court in Oregon and the Circuit Court for the City of Richmond in Virginia) against SpaceX Super Intelligence Corp. and SpaceX Super Intelligence LLC (formerly xAI), X Corp., and Space Exploration Technologies Corp. The states allege that the companies misrepresented Grok's safety while it was used to create non-consensual sexualized images, including of children. Mr. Musk is not a named defendant. These are allegations; no court has ruled on them.

**Status: Multistate demand letter; two coalition states (Oregon and Virginia) filed state consumer-protection suits on November 23, 2026; no ruling yet.**

**Sources:** North Carolina DOJ: Attorney General Jeff Jackson Leads Bipartisan Coalition Demanding xAI Stop Creating and Remove Grok's Nonconsensual Sexual Images (Jan. 23, 2026); Virginia Attorney General: Attorneys General Jones and Rayfield File Suit Against SpaceX, Parent Company of X, xAI, and Grok (Nov. 23, 2026); Oregon Department of Justice: complaint, State of Oregon ex rel. Rayfield v. SpaceX Super Intelligence Corp. et al. (Multnomah County Circuit Court, Nov. 2026) (PDF); Courthouse News: complaint, Commonwealth of Virginia ex rel. Jones v. SpaceX Super Intelligence Corp. et al. (Richmond Circuit Court, filed Nov. 23, 2026) (PDF); NPR: Virginia, Oregon sue Elon Musk's SpaceXSI over deepfake nonconsensual sexual images (Nov. 23, 2026)

### Count 24. Australia — eSafety Commissioner investigations of X and Grok

On January 9, 2026, the eSafety Commissioner publicly raised concerns about Grok being used to generate sexualised or exploitative imagery and said it had written to X. eSafety Commissioner Julie Inman Grant then said publicly, "We have two investigations," and eSafety's own April 2026 FOI document set describes two separate investigations, of X Corp. and of X.AI LLC: one into X Corp. over child-sexual-exploitation material on the platform, and one opened in January 2026 into xAI's compliance with the Designated Internet Services Standard after reports that Grok may have been used to create such material.

**Status: Two regulatory investigations open (X Corp. and xAI).**

**Sources:** eSafety Commissioner (Australia): eSafety raises concerns about misuse of Grok to generate sexualised content (Jan. 9, 2026); AAP: Social media app investigated over child abuse material (January 2026); eSafety Commissioner: FOI document set LOG 187, Unlawful Material Codes and Standards (April 2026) (PDF)

### Count 25. India — Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology action on Grok-generated obscene content

In January 2026, Indian reporting described a government notice to X over Grok-generated obscene and non-consensual deepfake content, a requirement to remove unlawful material and report back, and subsequent removals by X, with the government still weighing further action, including possible loss of intermediary safe-

harbour protection. As of November 25, 2026, we have found no report that the Ministry has withdrawn X's safe-harbour protection.

**Status: Regulatory action / investigation.**

**Source:** Hindustan Times: X acts on obscene Grok content after govt notice (January 2026)

### Count 26. Turkey — prosecutor investigation and court access blocks of Grok content

On July 9, 2025, the Associated Press and Reuters reported that a Turkish court ordered a ban on access to Grok content after alleged insults to President Erdoğan, Mustafa Kemal Atatürk, and religious values, and that the Ankara Chief Public Prosecutor's Office opened an investigation. Later reporting identified the July 9 order as Ankara 7th Criminal Judgeship of Peace decision No. 2025/8170, which blocked 50 Grok posts (some deleted by X, the rest withheld in Turkey), and described a September 2025 order under Article 8/A of Law No. 5651 to block access to the Grok account itself, which X had not implemented at the time of reporting.

**Status: Criminal investigation and court access-blocking orders reported.**

**Sources:** Associated Press: report on the Turkish court ban on Grok content (July 9, 2025); Reuters: Turkey blocks X's Grok content for alleged insults to Erdogan, religious values (July 9, 2025); İFÖD EngelliWeb: Grok'un paylaşımları erişime engellendi (July 2025, Turkish); bianet: Turkish court orders access ban on Grok over national security concerns (Sept. 2025); Turkish Minute: Turkey blocks access to Grok's X account, citing national security (Sept. 11, 2025)

### Count 27. United States — NAACP Clean Air Act suit over xAI's gas turbines, NAACP v. X.AI Corp., No. 3:26-cv-00074 (N.D. Miss.)

On April 14, 2026, the NAACP and its Mississippi State Conference sued X.AI Corp. and its subsidiary MZX Tech LLC, alleging that the Colossus Gas Plant in Southaven, Mississippi, whose gas turbines power xAI's data centers in Southaven and Memphis, was built and run without the permits required by the Clean Air Act and Mississippi's State Implementation Plan. The suit seeks an injunction and civil penalties of up to $124,426 per day per violation. The Justice Department joined in defense of the companies and moved to dismiss; the court denied that motion in October 2026. On October 30, 2026, Chief U.S. District Judge Debra M. Brown denied xAI's own motion to dismiss, holding that the turbines are not exempt "mobile sources," that the plaintiffs have standing, and that the Clean Air Act citizen-suit provision is constitutional. The NAACP's request for an order halting the unpermitted turbines is still pending. Separately, on November 10, 2026, Mississippi's Environmental Quality Permit Board rejected an appeal by the NAACP and local groups of the state air permit issued to MZX Tech for 41 permanent turbines at the Southaven site, with one board member dissenting.

**Status: Federal civil suit proceeding after motions to dismiss denied (Oct. 2026); state permit appeal rejected (Nov. 10, 2026).**

**Sources:** U.S. District Court for N.D. Miss., NAACP v. X.AI Corp., No. 3:26-cv-00074, Document 139 (Oct. 30, 2026) (Justia); TechCrunch: Judge allows NAACP lawsuit over xAI data center pollution to move forward (Oct. 31, 2026); Mississippi Today: Judge: Southaven turbines must have permits (Nov. 2, 2026); Mississippi Today: State permit board rejects appeal of SpaceXAI air permits in Southaven (Nov. 12, 2026)

## Count 28. United States — civil suits over Grok-generated sexual images of women and children

Since January 2026, xAI has been sued in a series of civil actions over sexual images generated with Grok. In the Northern District of California, Judge P. Casey Pitts on September 28, 2026 refused xAI's request to move a Grok deepfake class action to Texas, holding X's forum-selection clause unconscionable under California law, and on October 23, 2026 consolidated four related class actions against xAI and coordinated a fifth with them. Plaintiffs have asked the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation to centralize 13 cases from Arkansas and California as In re: x.AI/Grok Artificial Intelligence Minor Sexual Exploitation Litigation, MDL No. 3205; the Panel has set the motion for its December 3, 2026 hearing session in New Orleans. The City of Baltimore sued X Corp. and xAI under its consumer-protection ordinance in March 2026; the case was removed to federal court in Maryland, and X and xAI's motion to dismiss has been pending since June 29, 2026. Ashley St. Clair, the mother of one of Mr. Musk's children, sued xAI in New York over Grok deepfakes of her; on June 23, 2026, the federal court in Manhattan ordered the case transferred to the Northern District of Texas, and on July 2, 2026 it refused to stay the transfer while she seeks review. On November 11, 2026, Bloomberg published an investigation into Grok sexualizing teenagers' childhood photos.

**Status: Civil suits pending; consolidated in N.D. Cal.; MDL centralization hearing December 3, 2026; no liability ruling or settlement yet.**

**Sources:** U.S. District Court for N.D. Cal., Doe v. X.AI Corp., No. 5:26-cv-00772, Document 80, order denying transfer (Sept. 28, 2026) (Justia); U.S. District Court for N.D. Cal., stipulation and order to sever Stability and consolidate and coordinate actions as to X.AI (Oct. 23, 2026) (PDF, CourtListener); Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation docket: In re: x.AI/Grok Artificial Intelligence Minor Sexual Exploitation Litigation, MDL No. 3205 (Justia); Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation: Notice of December 3, 2026 Hearing Session and Hearing Session Order (PDF); Reuters: Baltimore sues Elon Musk's xAI over Grok sexual deepfakes (Mar. 24, 2026); U.S. District Court for D. Md. docket: Mayor and City Council of Baltimore v. X Corp et al., No. 1:26-cv-02103 (Justia); Associated Press: Mother of Elon Musk's son sues xAI over sexual deepfakes created by Grok (January 2026); U.S. District Court for S.D.N.Y.: order, St. Clair v. X.AI Holdings Corp. (July 2, 2026) (Leagle); Mealey's: Federal Judge Won't Stay Transfer Of Case Targeting Grok's Sexual Images (July 2026); Bloomberg: Elon Musk's AI Chatbot Grok Sexualized These Teens' Childhood Photos (Nov. 11, 2026)

## Count 29. United States — fired SpaceX engineers' suit over Mr. Musk's posts, Holland-Thielen v. SpaceX, No. 25-4811 (9th Cir.)

Eight engineers fired by SpaceX after circulating a 2022 letter calling Mr. Musk a "distraction and embarrassment" and urging executives to disavow his sexually charged posts sued SpaceX and Mr. Musk in 2024 for retaliation and related California claims. On November 10, 2026, a divided Ninth Circuit panel affirmed the denial of SpaceX's motion to compel arbitration, holding that the federal Ending Forced Arbitration of Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Act lets the engineers pursue the whole case in open court because they allege they were fired for opposing conduct they assert was sexual harassment, namely Mr. Musk's posts.

**Status: Civil suit proceeding in federal court in Los Angeles; arbitration denied on appeal (Nov. 10, 2026).**

**Sources:** U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit: opinion, Holland-Thielen v. SpaceX, No. 25-4811 (Nov. 10, 2026) (PDF, Courthouse News); MyNewsLA: Appeals Court Rejects SpaceX Arbitration Request Over Fired Employees Lawsuit (Nov. 13, 2026)

## The bill is coming

We will keep counting unlicensed AI uses of other people's work until X acts. As we wrote after the November 2026 elections, the bill is coming. That opinion column, "The Blue Wave Just Sent Big Tech the Bill" (November 7, 2026), reports that Democrats won the U.S. House and Senate, that voters sided against the industry in all but one of 41 local data-center ballot measures in 10 states, and that large industry spending did not change the result at the local ballot box. The column expressly does not claim that data centers decided the national election. Its demand is that large technology and AI firms open the books, pay the full cost of the infrastructure they use, and answer questions — including the reported call by Rep. Greg Casar for AI company CEOs to testify under oath.

**REAPER IS HERE:** The Blue Wave Just Sent Big Tech the Bill · Our original story: X THEFT of TradeMark & Copyrights, Unlicensed Characters, Zero Labels

INTENSE FINANCE, LLC · https://if.finance/

## References


1. Factually: What crimes has Elon Musk committed? (fact-check)
2. if.finance: X AI Video Copyright: 16 Unlicensed Characters, Zero Labels
3. SEC press release 2018-226: Elon Musk Settles SEC Fraud Charges; Tesla Charged With and Resolves Securities Law Charge (Sept. 29, 2018)
4. SEC Litigation Release No. 26219: SEC v. Elon Musk (Jan. 14, 2025)
5. SEC complaint, SEC v. Musk, No. 1:25-cv-00105 (D.D.C.) (PDF)
6. SEC Litigation Release No. 26548: SEC v. Elon Musk, amended complaint and proposed consent judgment (May 2026)
7. U.S. District Court for D.C., order approving consent judgment, SEC v. Musk (July 8, 2026) (PDF, CourtListener)
8. TechCrunch: Despite 'misgivings,' judge approves Elon Musk's $1.5M SEC settlement (July 8, 2026)
9. Pampena v. Musk settlement and claims administrator site (Twitter Acquisition Litigation)
10. Reuters: report on the Pampena v. Musk jury verdict over the $44 billion Twitter takeover (Mar. 20, 2026)
11. CNBC: In Tesla Autopilot probe, U.S. prosecutors focus on securities, wire fraud, Reuters reports (May 8, 2024)
12. Tesla, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarter ended Sept. 30, 2026 (SEC EDGAR)
13. CourtListener docket: Morand v. Tesla, Inc., No. 1:25-cv-01213 (W.D. Tex.)
14. NLRB case page: Tesla, Inc., Case 32-CA-197020
15. NLRB: Summary of NLRB Decisions for Week of October 21–25, 2024
16. Delaware Supreme Court opinion on the 2018 Tesla pay package (Tornetta / In re Tesla) (Dec. 19, 2025)
17. CNBC: Musk's 2018 Tesla pay package must be restored, Delaware court rules (Dec. 19, 2025)
18. CNN: Justice Department warns Elon Musk that his $1 million giveaway to registered voters may be illegal (Oct. 23, 2024)
19. Philadelphia Inquirer: Larry Krasner's suit against Elon Musk's election giveaway lacked proof, judge says (Nov. 13, 2024)
20. Philadelphia Inquirer: Musk settles lawsuits accusing him of fraud in money giveaways during the 2024 election (Oct. 16, 2026)
21. Associated Press: report on the Wisconsin special prosecutor declining election-bribery charges (Aug. 25, 2026)

22. Brazil Supreme Federal Court (STF): A pedido da PGR, STF arquiva inquérito contra Elon Musk (Mar. 10, 2026, Portuguese)
23. Agência Brasil: Supreme Court orders investigation against Elon Musk to be closed (March 2026)
24. CNBC: French prosecutors' probe of Elon Musk, X now a criminal investigation (May 7, 2026)
25. Associated Press: report on French prosecutors seeking charges over X and Grok deepfakes (May 2026)
26. Die Zeit: report on the Paris investigation of Elon Musk and X over deepfakes (May 2026, German)
27. European Commission: Commission fines X €120 million under the Digital Services Act (Dec. 5, 2025)
28. European Commission: Commission investigates Grok and X's recommender systems under the Digital Services Act (Jan. 26, 2026)
29. Ofcom: Ofcom launches investigation into X over Grok sexualised imagery (Jan. 12, 2026)
30. Ofcom update: Monitoring X's response to hate and terror content, and Grok investigation latest (Oct. 14, 2026)
31. Ireland Data Protection Commission: Announces commencement of inquiry into X Internet Unlimited Company (XIUC) (Apr. 11, 2025)
32. Ireland Data Protection Commission: Opens investigation into X (XIUC) (Feb. 17, 2026)
33. California Attorney General: Attorney General Bonta Launches Investigation into xAI, Grok Over Undressed, Sexual AI Images of Women and Children (Jan. 14, 2026)
34. California Attorney General: Attorney General Bonta Sends Cease and Desist Letter to xAI, Demands It Halt Illegal Actions Immediately (Jan. 16, 2026)
35. North Carolina DOJ: Attorney General Jeff Jackson Leads Bipartisan Coalition Demanding xAI Stop Creating and Remove Grok's Nonconsensual Sexual Images (Jan. 23, 2026)
36. eSafety Commissioner (Australia): eSafety raises concerns about misuse of Grok to generate sexualised content (Jan. 9, 2026)
37. Hindustan Times: X acts on obscene Grok content after govt notice (January 2026)
38. Associated Press: report on the Turkish court ban on Grok content (July 9, 2025)
39. if.finance: Blue Wave Voters Just Sent Big Tech the Data Center Bill (Nov. 7, 2026)
40. U.S. District Court for N.D. Cal.: order on post-trial motions, Pampena v. Musk (July 6, 2026) (PDF, Courthouse News)
41. Pampena v. Musk docket entry 592 and Ninth Circuit time schedule order, No. 26-4928 (July 31, 2026)
42. Mealey's: Elon Musk Appeals Order Mostly Upholding Verdict In Stock-Price Manipulation Case (Aug. 4, 2026)
43. Gibson Dunn: Delaware Reinstates Musk's Pay Package, Slashes $345 Million Fee Award
44. Paris Public Prosecutor: press release on the opening of a judicial investigation into X (May 7, 2026) (PDF, French)
45. European Commission: Commission accepts X's corrective measures to terminate breaches of the DSA (July 15, 2026)
46. France 24 / AFP: EU accepts X's plan to fix digital content violations (July 15, 2026)
47. EUR-Lex: Case T-120/26, X.AI Holdings v Commission (action brought Feb. 16, 2026)
48. FOX40 via KGET: xAI not currently digitally undressing women, AG's office says (Jan. 21, 2026)
49. WIRED: The State-Led Crackdown on Grok and xAI Has Begun (Jan. 27, 2026)
50. AAP: Social media app investigated over child abuse material (January 2026)
51. eSafety Commissioner: FOI document set LOG 187, Unlawful Material Codes and Standards (April 2026) (PDF)
52. Reuters: Turkey blocks X's Grok content for alleged insults to Erdogan, religious values (July 9, 2025)
53. İFÖD EngelliWeb: Grok'un paylaşımları erişime engellendi (July 2025, Turkish)
54. bianet: Turkish court orders access ban on Grok over national security concerns (Sept. 2025)

55. Turkish Minute: Turkey blocks access to Grok's X account, citing national security (Sept. 11, 2025)
56. U.S. District Court for N.D. Miss.: NAACP v. X.AI Corp., No. 3:26-cv-00074, Document 139 (Oct. 30, 2026) (Justia)
57. TechCrunch: Judge allows NAACP lawsuit over xAI data center pollution to move forward (Oct. 31, 2026)
58. Mississippi Today: Judge: Southaven turbines must have permits (Nov. 2, 2026)
59. Mississippi Today: State permit board rejects appeal of SpaceXAI air permits in Southaven (Nov. 12, 2026)
60. U.S. District Court for N.D. Cal.: Doe v. X.AI Corp., No. 5:26-cv-00772, Document 80, order denying transfer (Sept. 28, 2026) (Justia)
61. U.S. District Court for N.D. Cal.: stipulation and order to sever Stability and consolidate and coordinate actions as to X.AI (Oct. 23, 2026) (PDF, CourtListener)
62. Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation docket: In re: x.AI/Grok Artificial Intelligence Minor Sexual Exploitation Litigation, MDL No. 3205 (Justia)
63. Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation: Notice of December 3, 2026 Hearing Session and Hearing Session Order (PDF)
64. Reuters: Baltimore sues Elon Musk's xAI over Grok sexual deepfakes (Mar. 24, 2026)
65. U.S. District Court for D. Md. docket: Mayor and City Council of Baltimore v. X Corp et al., No. 1:26-cv-02103 (Justia)
66. Associated Press: Mother of Elon Musk's son sues xAI over sexual deepfakes created by Grok (January 2026)
67. U.S. District Court for S.D.N.Y.: order, St. Clair v. X.AI Holdings Corp. (July 2, 2026) (Leagle)
68. Mealey's: Federal Judge Won't Stay Transfer Of Case Targeting Grok's Sexual Images (July 2026)
69. Bloomberg: Elon Musk's AI Chatbot Grok Sexualized These Teens' Childhood Photos (Nov. 11, 2026)
70. U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit: opinion, Holland-Thielen v. SpaceX, No. 25-4811 (Nov. 10, 2026) (PDF, Courthouse News)
71. MyNewsLA: Appeals Court Rejects SpaceX Arbitration Request Over Fired Employees Lawsuit (Nov. 13, 2026)
72. CourtListener docket: SEC v. Musk, No. 1:25-cv-00105 (D.D.C.), entry 60, stipulation of dismissal as to Elon Musk (July 9, 2026)
73. Reuters (via Investing.com): Elon Musk asks US appeals court to throw out Twitter fraud verdict (Nov. 24, 2026)
74. UniCourt: Ninth Circuit docket, Pampena v. Musk, No. 26-4928, including the Oct. 13, 2026 order setting the amended briefing schedule
75. U.S. Department of Justice: United States Files Request to Intervene in Case Brought by X Corp. and Elon Musk Seeking to Annul Decision by European Commission (Sept. 24, 2026)
76. POLITICO: Irish regulator stops X training AI with data gathered by agents (Nov. 17, 2026)
77. Virginia Attorney General: Attorneys General Jones and Rayfield File Suit Against SpaceX, Parent Company of X, xAI, and Grok (Nov. 23, 2026)
78. Oregon Department of Justice: complaint, State of Oregon ex rel. Rayfield v. SpaceX Super Intelligence Corp. et al. (Multnomah County Circuit Court, Nov. 2026) (PDF)
79. Courthouse News: complaint, Commonwealth of Virginia ex rel. Jones v. SpaceX Super Intelligence Corp. et al. (Richmond Circuit Court, filed Nov. 23, 2026) (PDF)
80. NPR: Virginia, Oregon sue Elon Musk's SpaceXSI over deepfake nonconsensual sexual images (Nov. 23, 2026)

© 2026 INTENSE FINANCE, LLC — https://if.finance/