INTENSE FINANCE — The Legal Record Against Elon Musk, X and xAI

Public statement of INTENSE FINANCE, LLC (if.finance) · November 13, 2026

26 counts of civil charges, settlements, civil verdicts, regulatory fines, investigations, and one open French criminal investigation. No criminal convictions.

No conviction, and what this record is

No court has convicted Elon Musk of a crime. We say that first and plainly. Our research, current as of November 13, 2026, found no criminal conviction, and a public compilation at Factually likewise reports none. What follows is the public legal record: civil charges, criminal and regulatory investigations, civil findings, regulatory fines, and settlements, plus warning letters, demand letters, declined or closed inquiries, and, in France, a formal criminal investigation in which prosecutors have asked investigating judges to consider charges.

We number the matters as "counts" so they are easy to cite. They are not criminal counts. Each count carries its actual status in a bold Status line, and every factual sentence is tied to a linked source. Investigations are not convictions. Settlements without an admission of liability are not convictions. Civil jury verdicts are not criminal judgments. This is a record of public documents, not legal advice.

We are not shy about why we compiled it. The record is long, it spans eight countries and the European Union, and much of it is still open. Readers deserve to see it in one place, accurately labeled.

Why we put this on the record

We are pleased with Grok and have used it in our work. We are putting this on the record because we need X and xAI to do something we cannot do ourselves: to remove, or at minimum clearly label and de-monetize, all posts on X.com like the unlicensed Hollywood AI compilation we documented in our story "X THEFT of TradeMark & Copyrights, Unlicensed Characters, Zero Labels".

This is not about one post. We ask X and xAI to sweep the entire platform for posts of this kind: AI-generated or AI-altered videos that reproduce copyrighted characters, actor likenesses, and trademarks without a visible license or a synthetic-media label. The example we reviewed was a 116-second reel amplified by @LinusEkenstam on November 10, 2026, crediting the maker Jaxon_Flix. Our frame-by-frame review counted 16 copyrighted characters, the faces of 15 real actors, and 3 trademarks or logos, with no AI or synthetic-media label visible when we viewed it. Our dispute is with the platform's systems, not with that poster for identifying the work as AI.

We cannot delete other people's posts. Only X can. Copyright takedowns ordinarily must come from the rights holders, which here are the studios and the actors. We are asking X to act anyway: identify and remove or clearly label every similar post; exclude content that simulates identifiable real people or copyrighted characters without consent or a license from impression-based payouts; and tell the public and us the proper channel for reporting further examples.

We also want this record to reach Elon Musk personally. Incoming civil litigation and possible criminal exposure are already part of the public record around X, xAI, and Mr. Musk (the criminal matters below are investigations, a warning letter, declined or closed inquiries, and one open French judicial investigation; none has produced a conviction).

Statement of the legal record

Current as of November 13, 2026. Each count gives its status in a bold Status line.

Count 1. United States — SEC "funding secured" action (civil)

On August 7, 2018, Mr. Musk tweeted that he was considering taking Tesla private at $420 a share, "Funding secured." The SEC charged securities fraud (a civil charge and an allegation, which Mr. Musk settled without admitting or denying). On September 29, 2018, Mr. Musk and Tesla agreed to settle without admitting or denying the allegations. Each paid a $20 million civil penalty, and Mr. Musk stepped down as Tesla chairman for three years. The U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York entered the final judgment on October 16, 2018.

Status: Settled, civil; no admission; not a criminal conviction.

Source: SEC press release 2018-226: Elon Musk Settles SEC Fraud Charges; Tesla Charged With and Resolves Securities Law Charge (Sept. 29, 2018)

Count 2. United States — SEC suit over late disclosure of the Twitter stake (civil)

On January 14, 2025, the SEC sued Mr. Musk in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, alleging he failed to timely file a Schedule 13D after his stake in Twitter exceeded 5 percent, and that the delay allowed him to buy more than $500 million in shares at artificially low prices, underpaying by at least $150 million.

Status: Civil complaint filed.

Sources: SEC Litigation Release No. 26219: SEC v. Elon Musk (Jan. 14, 2025); SEC complaint, SEC v. Musk, No. 1:25-cv-00105 (D.D.C.) (PDF)

Count 3. United States — resolution of that 13(d) suit

On May 4, 2026, the SEC added the Elon Musk Revocable Trust as a defendant and proposed a consent judgment: a $1.5 million civil penalty and a permanent injunction against future Section 13(d) violations, without admitting or denying the allegations, with the SEC to dismiss the claims against Mr. Musk personally. On July 8, 2026, Judge Sparkle L. Sooknanan approved the consent judgment despite "significant misgivings," finding it met the minimum standard of fairness.

Status: Settled, civil, as to the trust; personal claims to be dismissed; no admission; not a criminal conviction.

Sources: SEC Litigation Release No. 26548: SEC v. Elon Musk, amended complaint and proposed consent judgment (May 2026); U.S. District Court for D.C., order approving consent judgment, SEC v. Musk (July 8, 2026) (PDF, CourtListener); TechCrunch: Despite 'misgivings,' judge approves Elon Musk's $1.5M SEC settlement (July 8, 2026)

Count 4. United States — Twitter-takeover shareholder fraud suit, Pampena v. Musk, No. 3:22-cv-05937 (N.D. Cal.)

On March 20, 2026, a federal jury found Mr. Musk liable for securities fraud (a civil verdict in a private class action, now reported on appeal) under Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 in connection with statements during the $44 billion Twitter acquisition. This is a civil finding of liability, not a criminal conviction. An appeal has been reported, and a claims process is under way, with a claim-filing deadline of November 24, 2026.

Status: Civil jury finding of liability; appeal reported pending; not a criminal conviction.

Sources: Pampena v. Musk settlement and claims administrator site (Twitter Acquisition Litigation); Reuters: report on the Pampena v. Musk jury verdict over the $44 billion Twitter takeover (Mar. 20, 2026)

Count 5. United States — Tesla Autopilot / Full Self-Driving investigations (DOJ and SEC)

Reuters and CNBC reported in May 2024 that federal prosecutors were examining possible securities and wire fraud in Tesla's Autopilot and FSD claims, and that the SEC was also investigating representations to investors. Tesla has disclosed government information requests on these topics in its SEC filings; its Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2026 lists requests for information, including subpoenas, from the SEC and the DOJ, among others, on topics including Advanced Driver-Assistance Systems and Robotaxi, and states that, to Tesla's knowledge, no government agency in any ongoing investigation has concluded that any wrongdoing occurred. As of November 13, 2026, we have found no public indictment, criminal charge, or agency finding of wrongdoing.

Status: Investigation reported; no public charge or conviction.

Sources: CNBC: In Tesla Autopilot probe, U.S. prosecutors focus on securities, wire fraud, Reuters reports (May 8, 2024); Tesla, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarter ended Sept. 30, 2026 (SEC EDGAR)

Count 6. United States — Tesla shareholder securities class action over Autopilot, FSD, and Robotaxi claims, Morand v. Tesla, No. 1:25-cv-01213 (W.D. Tex.)

, filed August 4, 2025. Tesla's 2026 SEC disclosures described an amended complaint and a pending motion to dismiss: its Q3 2026 Form 10-Q says the complaint names Tesla, Mr. Musk, and certain current and former executives, alleges misrepresentations about Autopilot, Full Self-Driving (Supervised), and Robotaxi, that the plaintiffs amended it on February 17, 2026, and that Tesla's April 20, 2026 motion to dismiss has been fully briefed.

Status: Civil lawsuit pending; not a criminal matter.

Sources: CourtListener docket: Morand v. Tesla, Inc., No. 1:25-cv-01213 (W.D. Tex.); Tesla, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarter ended Sept. 30, 2026 (SEC EDGAR)

Count 7. United States — NLRB findings in Tesla, Inc., Case 32-CA-197020

The National Labor Relations Board found that Tesla committed multiple unfair labor practices during union organizing, including a 2018 tweet by Mr. Musk that the Board treated as a threat of lost stock options. On October 25, 2024, the Fifth Circuit, sitting en banc, vacated the Board's order, including the requirement that the tweet be deleted, and remanded. The NLRB docket still listed the case as Open in 2026, with position statements filed March 24, 2026.

Status: Civil/administrative labor findings; appellate vacatur and remand; case open; not a criminal matter.

Sources: NLRB case page: Tesla, Inc., Case 32-CA-197020; NLRB: Summary of NLRB Decisions for Week of October 21–25, 2024

Count 8. United States — Delaware litigation over Mr. Musk's 2018 Tesla pay package (Tornetta / In re Tesla)

In 2024 the Court of Chancery rescinded the package. On December 19, 2025, the Delaware Supreme Court reversed the rescission remedy, awarded $1 in nominal damages, and restored the package. This is corporate civil litigation, not a criminal case.

Status: Civil appellate ruling restoring the package.

Sources: Delaware Supreme Court opinion on the 2018 Tesla pay package (Tornetta / In re Tesla) (Dec. 19, 2025); CNBC: Musk's 2018 Tesla pay package must be restored, Delaware court rules (Dec. 19, 2025)

Count 9. United States — America PAC $1 million voter sweepstakes

In October 2024, the Justice Department's Public Integrity Section sent America PAC a warning letter that a $1 million giveaway to registered voters in swing states might violate federal law. We have found no subsequent federal criminal charge from that letter.

Status: Warning letter; no federal charge found.

Source: CNN: Justice Department warns Elon Musk that his $1 million giveaway to registered voters may be illegal (Oct. 23, 2024)

Count 10. United States — Philadelphia District Attorney Larry Krasner's suit to stop the giveaway

On November 13, 2024, a Pennsylvania judge denied the request, finding the District Attorney had not shown the promotion was an illegal lottery and that recipients were selected as paid PAC spokespeople rather than chosen at random.

Status: Injunction denied; not a criminal conviction.

Source: Philadelphia Inquirer: Larry Krasner's suit against Elon Musk's election giveaway lacked proof, judge says (Nov. 13, 2024)

Count 11. United States — later civil suits over the 2024 giveaways

In October 2026, reporting described a settlement of lawsuits accusing Mr. Musk of fraud in the election money giveaways (allegations; the suits targeted Mr. Musk and America PAC, and the settlement terms were not disclosed). That is a civil resolution, not a criminal conviction.

Status: Civil settlement reported.

Source: Philadelphia Inquirer: Musk settles lawsuits accusing him of fraud in money giveaways during the 2024 election (Oct. 16, 2026)

Count 12. United States — Wisconsin election-bribery referral

On August 25, 2026, the Associated Press reported that a Wisconsin special prosecutor, La Crosse County District Attorney Tim Gruenke, declined to charge Mr. Musk over a 2025 offer of $1 million checks tied to a state Supreme Court race, concluding a jury would not find election bribery.

Status: Charges declined.

Source: Associated Press: report on the Wisconsin special prosecutor declining election-bribery charges (Aug. 25, 2026)

Count 13. Brazil — Supreme Federal Court Inquiry 4957

Justice Alexandre de Moraes had opened an inquiry into Mr. Musk for alleged obstruction of justice, criminal organization, and incitement, in connection with X's handling of court-ordered account blocks. On March 10, 2026, Moraes archived the inquiry at the request of the Prosecutor-General. The Prosecutor-General found no evidence of deliberate obstruction, criminal organization, or incitement, and treated the incidents as operational failures that were promptly corrected. The inquiry remains closed unless new evidence appears.

Status: Criminal inquiry closed; no charge or conviction.

Sources: Brazil Supreme Federal Court (STF): A pedido da PGR, STF arquiva inquérito contra Elon Musk (Mar. 10, 2026, Portuguese); Agência Brasil: Supreme Court orders investigation against Elon Musk to be closed (March 2026)

Count 14. France — search of X's Paris office and summons

On February 3, 2026, the Paris prosecutor's cybercrime unit searched X's French office. Mr. Musk and former X CEO Linda Yaccarino were later summoned for a voluntary interview on April 20, 2026. Both declined to appear.

Status: Criminal investigation steps; summons not attended; not a conviction.

Source: CNBC: French prosecutors' probe of Elon Musk, X now a criminal investigation (May 7, 2026)

Count 15. France — formal judicial investigation (information judiciaire)

On May 7, 2026, the Paris prosecutor's office said it had escalated the matter to a formal criminal investigation and referred it to investigating judges. The Paris prosecutor's own May 7, 2026 press release states that the office, by an opening indictment-request (réquisitoire introductif) of May 6, 2026, asked the investigating judges to place X.AI Holdings Corp, X Corp, X AI, Mr. Musk, and Ms. Yaccarino under formal investigation (mise en examen). Reporting, including by the Associated Press and Die Zeit, describes this as prosecutors asking judges to consider charges against Mr. Musk, X Corp., xAI, and Ms. Yaccarino concerning alleged child-sexual-abuse imagery, non-consensual sexual deepfakes generated with Grok, Holocaust denial, and alleged manipulation or unlawful extraction of data through X's algorithms. The offences named in the prosecutor's release, which are under investigation and not findings, are: complicity in possessing images of minors of a child-sexual-abuse nature and in their organised distribution; collecting personal data by fraudulent, unfair, or unlawful means; processing personal data without adequate security; fraudulent extraction of data from an automated data-processing system by an organised group; violating the secrecy of electronic correspondence; falsifying the operation of an automated data-processing system by an organised group; distributing online algorithmically generated sexual content reproducing the image or words of a non-consenting person; administering an online platform to enable illicit transactions by an organised group; and contesting a crime against humanity, facilitated by the Grok AI. This is an open criminal investigation. It is not a trial verdict and not a conviction. If the subjects again fail to appear, judges may issue warrants: the release asks the judges to summon them or, failing that, to issue a warrant that has the effect of placing them under formal investigation (mandat valant mise en examen).

Status: Criminal investigation open; charges sought / referred to investigating judges; not convicted; no confirmed final charging decision beyond the prosecutors' referral as reported.

Sources: CNBC: French prosecutors' probe of Elon Musk, X now a criminal investigation (May 7, 2026); Associated Press: report on French prosecutors seeking charges over X and Grok deepfakes (May 2026); Die Zeit: report on the Paris investigation of Elon Musk and X over deepfakes (May 2026, German); Paris Public Prosecutor (Procureure de la République, Laure Beccuau): press release on the opening of a judicial investigation concerning the X platform (May 7, 2026) (PDF, French)

Count 16. European Union — Digital Services Act fine

On December 5, 2025, the European Commission fined X €120 million, its first DSA non-compliance decision, for a deceptive "blue checkmark" design, a deficient advertising repository, and failure to provide researchers access to public data. X has appealed: an action to annul the decision and the fines was brought before the EU General Court on February 16, 2026 (Case T-120/26, X.AI Holdings v Commission). On July 15, 2026, the Commission accepted X's corrective-action plan, gave X six months to implement it under an enhanced supervision regime with an external, independent audit, and noted that the Board for Digital Services had found the plan overall inadequate. AFP reported that the plan does not halt X's appeal of the fine.

Status: Regulatory fine imposed; appeal / compliance process reported; not a criminal conviction.

Sources: European Commission: Commission fines X €120 million under the Digital Services Act (Dec. 5, 2025); European Commission: Commission accepts X's corrective measures to terminate breaches of the DSA (July 15, 2026); France 24 / AFP: EU accepts X's plan to fix digital content violations (July 15, 2026); EUR-Lex: Case T-120/26, X.AI Holdings v Commission, action brought on 16 February 2026 (General Court notice)

Count 17. European Union — further DSA investigation of Grok and X's recommender systems

On January 26, 2026, the Commission opened a new formal DSA investigation into whether X properly assessed and mitigated risks from deploying Grok on X in the EU, including dissemination of illegal content such as manipulated sexually explicit images and possible child-sexual-abuse material, and extended its December 2023 investigation of X's recommender-system risk obligations.

Status: Formal regulatory investigation open; no fine yet on this new file.

Source: European Commission: Commission investigates Grok and X's recommender systems under the Digital Services Act (Jan. 26, 2026)

Count 18. United Kingdom — Ofcom investigation of X over Grok sexualised imagery

On January 12, 2026, Ofcom opened a formal investigation under the Online Safety Act into whether X complied with duties to protect people in the UK from illegal content, including possible non-consensual intimate images and child-sexual-abuse material generated with Grok. In an October 14, 2026 update, Ofcom said the investigation remained open, had been narrowed to illegal-content and reporting duties after X changed age-gating of pornography, and that no provisional contravention decision had yet been issued.

Status: Regulatory investigation open; no finding or fine yet.

Sources: Ofcom: Ofcom launches investigation into X over Grok sexualised imagery (Jan. 12, 2026); Ofcom update: Monitoring X's response to hate and terror content, and Grok investigation latest (Oct. 14, 2026)

Count 19. Ireland — Data Protection Commission inquiry into use of public posts to train Grok

On April 11, 2025, Ireland's DPC, as lead EU supervisory authority for X Internet Unlimited Company, opened an inquiry into XIUC's use of the personal data of EU/EEA users.

Status: Regulatory inquiry open; no fine found as of this research.

Source: Ireland Data Protection Commission: Announces commencement of inquiry into X Internet Unlimited Company (XIUC) (Apr. 11, 2025)

Count 20. Ireland — DPC inquiry into Grok-generated non-consensual sexualised images

On February 17, 2026, the DPC opened a further inquiry into the apparent creation and publication on X of potentially harmful, non-consensual intimate or sexualised images, including of children, using Grok, examining GDPR Articles 5, 6, 25, and 35.

Status: Regulatory inquiry open; no fine found as of this research.

Source: Ireland Data Protection Commission: Opens investigation into X (XIUC) (Feb. 17, 2026)

Count 21. California — Attorney General investigation of xAI / Grok

On January 14, 2026, Attorney General Rob Bonta opened an investigation into non-consensual sexually explicit material produced with Grok, including reports of images of women and children.

Status: State investigation open.

Source: California Attorney General: Attorney General Bonta Launches Investigation into xAI, Grok Over Undressed, Sexual AI Images of Women and Children (Jan. 14, 2026)

Count 22. California — cease-and-desist to xAI

On January 16, 2026, Attorney General Bonta sent xAI a cease-and-desist letter demanding that it immediately stop creating and distributing deepfake non-consensual intimate images and child-sexual-abuse material (the Attorney General's allegations; not a court finding), citing California Civil Code § 1708.86, Penal Code §§ 311 et seq. and 647(j)(4), and Business & Professions Code § 17200. Subsequent reporting indicated xAI formally responded to the letter and said it had added safeguards. On January 21, 2026, the Attorney General's office said it had "reason to believe, subject to additional verification," that Grok was "not currently being used to generate any sexual images of children or images that violate California law," that its investigation was "still very much underway," and that it was "paying very close attention to both past and future actions that may be unlawful." The investigation was not reported as closed.

Status: Cease-and-desist issued; investigation not reported closed; no conviction.

Sources: California Attorney General: Attorney General Bonta Sends Cease and Desist Letter to xAI, Demands It Halt Illegal Actions Immediately (Jan. 16, 2026); FOX40 (syndicated by KGET): xAI 'not currently' digitally undressing women, AG's office says (Jan. 21, 2026); WIRED: The State-Led Crackdown on Grok and xAI Has Begun (Jan. 27, 2026)

Count 23. United States — bipartisan coalition of 35 attorneys general

On January 23, 2026, North Carolina Attorney General Jeff Jackson led a coalition of 35 attorneys general demanding that xAI stop Grok from generating non-consensual sexual images (the attorneys general's allegations; not a court finding), remove existing images, act against users who generated them, and give X users control over whether their content can be edited by Grok.

Status: Multistate demand letter; not a charging document.

Source: North Carolina DOJ: Attorney General Jeff Jackson Leads Bipartisan Coalition Demanding xAI Stop Creating and Remove Grok's Nonconsensual Sexual Images (Jan. 23, 2026)

Count 24. Australia — eSafety Commissioner investigations of X and Grok

On January 9, 2026, the eSafety Commissioner publicly raised concerns about Grok being used to generate sexualised or exploitative imagery and said it had written to X. eSafety Commissioner Julie Inman Grant then said publicly, "We have two investigations," and eSafety's own April 2026 FOI document set describes two separate investigations, of X Corp. and of X.AI LLC: one into X Corp. over child-sexual-exploitation material on the platform, and one opened in January 2026 into xAI's compliance with the Designated Internet Services Standard after reports that Grok may have been used to create such material. These are regulatory investigations, not criminal convictions of Mr. Musk.

Status: Regulatory investigations open / ongoing as last officially described.

Sources: eSafety Commissioner (Australia): eSafety raises concerns about misuse of Grok to generate sexualised content (Jan. 9, 2026); AAP: Social media app investigated over child abuse material (eSafety Commissioner Julie Inman Grant on two investigations, January 2026); eSafety Commissioner: FOI document set LOG 187, including "Key Issues Brief: Unlawful Material Codes and Standards" (April 2026) (PDF)

Count 25. India — Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology action on Grok-generated obscene content

In January 2026, Indian reporting described a government notice to X over Grok-generated obscene and non-consensual deepfake content, a requirement to remove unlawful material and report back, and subsequent removals by X, with the government still weighing further action, including possible loss of intermediary safe-harbour protection. This is regulatory engagement, not a reported criminal charge against Mr. Musk.

Status: Regulatory action / investigation; no criminal charge against Musk found.

Source: Hindustan Times: X acts on obscene Grok content after govt notice (January 2026)

Count 26. Turkey — prosecutor investigation and court access blocks of Grok content

On July 9, 2025, the Associated Press and Reuters reported that a Turkish court ordered a ban on access to Grok content after alleged insults to President Erdoğan, Mustafa Kemal Atatürk, and religious values, and that the Ankara Chief Public Prosecutor's Office opened an investigation. Later reporting identified the July 9 order as Ankara 7th Criminal Judgeship of Peace decision No. 2025/8170, which blocked 50 Grok posts (some deleted by X, the rest withheld in Turkey), and described a September 2025 order under Article 8/A of Law No. 5651 to block access to the Grok account itself, which X had not implemented at the time of reporting. We have found no reported conviction of Mr. Musk in Turkey.

Status: Criminal investigation and court access-blocking orders reported; no conviction of Musk found.

Sources: Associated Press: report on the Turkish court ban on Grok content (July 9, 2025); Reuters: Turkey blocks X's Grok content for alleged insults to Erdogan, religious values (July 9, 2025); Freedom of Expression Association (İFÖD) EngelliWeb: Grok'un paylaşımları erişime engellendi — Ankara 7th Criminal Judgeship of Peace decision No. 2025/8170 (July 9, 2025) (Turkish); bianet: Turkish court orders access ban on Grok over 'national security' concerns (Sept. 12, 2025); Turkish Minute: Turkey blocks access to Grok's X account, citing national security (Sept. 11, 2025)

The bill is coming

We will keep counting unlicensed AI uses of other people's work until X acts. As we wrote after the November 2026 elections, the bill is coming. That opinion column, "The Blue Wave Just Sent Big Tech the Bill" (November 7, 2026), reports that Democrats won the U.S. House and Senate, that voters sided against the

industry in all but one of 41 local data-center ballot measures in 10 states, and that large industry spending did not change the result at the local ballot box. The column expressly does not claim that data centers decided the national election. Its demand is that large technology and AI firms open the books, pay the full cost of the infrastructure they use, and answer questions — including the reported call by Rep. Greg Casar for AI company CEOs to testify under oath.

REAPER IS HERE: The Blue Wave Just Sent Big Tech the Bill · Our original story: X THEFT of TradeMark & Copyrights, Unlicensed Characters, Zero Labels

INTENSE FINANCE, LLC · https://if.finance/

References

1. Factually: What crimes has Elon Musk committed? (fact-check)
2. if.finance: X AI Video Copyright: 16 Unlicensed Characters, Zero Labels
3. SEC press release 2018-226: Elon Musk Settles SEC Fraud Charges; Tesla Charged With and Resolves Securities Law Charge (Sept. 29, 2018)
4. SEC Litigation Release No. 26219: SEC v. Elon Musk (Jan. 14, 2025)
5. SEC complaint, SEC v. Musk, No. 1:25-cv-00105 (D.D.C.) (PDF)
6. SEC Litigation Release No. 26548: SEC v. Elon Musk, amended complaint and proposed consent judgment (May 2026)
7. U.S. District Court for D.C., order approving consent judgment, SEC v. Musk (July 8, 2026) (PDF, CourtListener)
8. TechCrunch: Despite 'misgivings,' judge approves Elon Musk's $1.5M SEC settlement (July 8, 2026)
9. Pampena v. Musk settlement and claims administrator site (Twitter Acquisition Litigation)
10. Reuters: report on the Pampena v. Musk jury verdict over the $44 billion Twitter takeover (Mar. 20, 2026)
11. CNBC: In Tesla Autopilot probe, U.S. prosecutors focus on securities, wire fraud, Reuters reports (May 8, 2024)
12. Tesla, Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarter ended Sept. 30, 2026 (SEC EDGAR)
13. CourtListener docket: Morand v. Tesla, Inc., No. 1:25-cv-01213 (W.D. Tex.)
14. NLRB case page: Tesla, Inc., Case 32-CA-197020
15. NLRB: Summary of NLRB Decisions for Week of October 21–25, 2024
16. Delaware Supreme Court opinion on the 2018 Tesla pay package (Tornetta / In re Tesla) (Dec. 19, 2025)
17. CNBC: Musk's 2018 Tesla pay package must be restored, Delaware court rules (Dec. 19, 2025)
18. CNN: Justice Department warns Elon Musk that his $1 million giveaway to registered voters may be illegal (Oct. 23, 2024)
19. Philadelphia Inquirer: Larry Krasner's suit against Elon Musk's election giveaway lacked proof, judge says (Nov. 13, 2024)
20. Philadelphia Inquirer: Musk settles lawsuits accusing him of fraud in money giveaways during the 2024 election (Oct. 16, 2026)
21. Associated Press: report on the Wisconsin special prosecutor declining election-bribery charges (Aug. 25, 2026)
22. Brazil Supreme Federal Court (STF): A pedido da PGR, STF arquiva inquérito contra Elon Musk (Mar. 10, 2026, Portuguese)
23. Agência Brasil: Supreme Court orders investigation against Elon Musk to be closed (March 2026)
24. CNBC: French prosecutors' probe of Elon Musk, X now a criminal investigation (May 7, 2026)
25. Associated Press: report on French prosecutors seeking charges over X and Grok deepfakes (May 2026)

26. Die Zeit: report on the Paris investigation of Elon Musk and X over deepfakes (May 2026, German)
27. Paris Public Prosecutor (Procureure de la République, Laure Beccuau): press release on the opening of a judicial investigation concerning the X platform (May 7, 2026) (PDF, French)
28. European Commission: Commission fines X €120 million under the Digital Services Act (Dec. 5, 2025)
29. European Commission: Commission accepts X's corrective measures to terminate breaches of the DSA (July 15, 2026)
30. France 24 / AFP: EU accepts X's plan to fix digital content violations (July 15, 2026)
31. EUR-Lex: Case T-120/26, X.AI Holdings v Commission, action brought on 16 February 2026 (General Court notice)
32. European Commission: Commission investigates Grok and X's recommender systems under the Digital Services Act (Jan. 26, 2026)
33. Ofcom: Ofcom launches investigation into X over Grok sexualised imagery (Jan. 12, 2026)
34. Ofcom update: Monitoring X's response to hate and terror content, and Grok investigation latest (Oct. 14, 2026)
35. Ireland Data Protection Commission: Announces commencement of inquiry into X Internet Unlimited Company (XIUC) (Apr. 11, 2025)
36. Ireland Data Protection Commission: Opens investigation into X (XIUC) (Feb. 17, 2026)
37. California Attorney General: Attorney General Bonta Launches Investigation into xAI, Grok Over Undressed, Sexual AI Images of Women and Children (Jan. 14, 2026)
38. California Attorney General: Attorney General Bonta Sends Cease and Desist Letter to xAI, Demands It Halt Illegal Actions Immediately (Jan. 16, 2026)
39. FOX40 (syndicated by KGET): xAI 'not currently' digitally undressing women, AG's office says (Jan. 21, 2026)
40. WIRED: The State-Led Crackdown on Grok and xAI Has Begun (Jan. 27, 2026)
41. North Carolina DOJ: Attorney General Jeff Jackson Leads Bipartisan Coalition Demanding xAI Stop Creating and Remove Grok's Nonconsensual Sexual Images (Jan. 23, 2026)
42. eSafety Commissioner (Australia): eSafety raises concerns about misuse of Grok to generate sexualised content (Jan. 9, 2026)
43. AAP: Social media app investigated over child abuse material (eSafety Commissioner Julie Inman Grant on two investigations, January 2026)
44. eSafety Commissioner: FOI document set LOG 187, including "Key Issues Brief: Unlawful Material Codes and Standards" (April 2026) (PDF)
45. Hindustan Times: X acts on obscene Grok content after govt notice (January 2026)
46. Associated Press: report on the Turkish court ban on Grok content (July 9, 2025)
47. Reuters: Turkey blocks X's Grok content for alleged insults to Erdogan, religious values (July 9, 2025)
48. Freedom of Expression Association (İFÖD) EngelliWeb: Grok'un paylaşımları erişime engellendi — Ankara 7th Criminal Judgeship of Peace decision No. 2025/8170 (July 9, 2025) (Turkish)
49. bianet: Turkish court orders access ban on Grok over 'national security' concerns (Sept. 12, 2025)
50. Turkish Minute: Turkey blocks access to Grok's X account, citing national security (Sept. 11, 2025)
51. if.finance: Blue Wave Voters Just Sent Big Tech the Data Center Bill (Nov. 7, 2026)

© 2026 INTENSE FINANCE, LLC — https://if.finance/